

BRITAIN is sliding steadily towards chaos, the like of which has never been seen before. Behind all Mrs. Thatcher's pretence that everything in the garden is lovely, such is the true fact of life.

ANOTHER P.C. MURDERED
Constable Gavin Carlton (above)
was shot dead by bank robbers in
Coventry last month



THE BRITISH BOBBY: 1988

This is a shot of an armed constable, called out to deal with gunmen in a street battle following a bank robbery in Coventry. This will be the prototype British policeman for the future if things carry on as they are

British Nationalist

No. 86 JANUARY 1989
Editor: John Morse

BACK TO REALITY!

ALL THINGS that live on this earth do so only to the extent that they are ready to fight for their right to live. This is the hard truth of life on this planet.

Every tiger, leopard, wolf, eagle or other beast or bird of prey has first to stake out its territory and then defend that territory against invasion by others. Then it must ruthlessly set about catching and killing its prey, driven on all the time by the need to eat to survive.

This is how nature has ordered things. And Man too, whether he likes it or not, is a part of nature. The law of life — fight or go under — applies to him also. Above all, it applies in the case of nations — if they mean to live.

History is little else but a record proving this. Until the 20th century, the British people and their rulers understood such a law. It was obvious to everyone that Britain must expand her territory and gain her needs in the world at large, if necessary by force, if her own people were to live and grow. Hence that mighty creation of our proud and strong-willed forefathers: the British Empire.

But in modern times a new spirit has taken over our country. Britain has fallen under a regime which preaches that weakness now constitutes virtue. If aliens wish to invade our national territory and take control of our economy, and anti-social elements of all kinds wish to undermine the fabric of our society, we are supposed, according to our rulers and 'educators', to 'turn the other cheek'.

No more must we show pride, heroism or a sense of honour by taking up the hard fight for our race and nation, for our children and descendants, with all the means that nature dictates in this harsh world. Never again must we show the will to win or the will to greatness. We must give up all idea of our people ever again being at the peak of creation, and we must instead accept a position at the bottom of the pile — so that other may kick us in the gutter like dogs, as we yield to them everything they may demand from us. Never again must we as a race and a people be allowed to be victors. Now all the great qualities of character, mind and will that make a nation great, that made Britain great, are to be regarded as 'sinful'.

In short, the regime that now rules Britain is trying to force us to live AGAINST NATURE. The weakling, the coward and the traitor are now held up as the heroes and the saints.

We do not believe for one moment that the mass of British people, at gut level, really accept any of this filth and muck that has been poured into their minds for the past few decades by their politicians and media. We believe that their seeming submission to it all has largely been brought about by the greedy obsession with material junk and physical comfort that the politicians have deliberately encouraged among our people.

And never has this obsession gone further than under the present Thatcher Government, an administration that has practised massed bribery and corruption of the electorate on a scale beggaring anything done before.

But there are some signs that the whole despicable fraud might have gone as far as it can go. Thatcher has tried to build national prosperity on unprecedented national weakness and decline, relying purely on

Contd. on back page

THE RECENT parliamentary by-election in Epping Forest saw Tory support take a massive plunge. Although the Tory candidate won, the Tory majority in the constituency collapsed from 21,000 at the general election to a pitiful 4,000. In this latest poll the Conservatives managed to win a total of only some 17,000 votes overall.

Previous to this, Epping Forest was the ninth safest Tory seat in the country.

The by-election witnessed a massive anti-Tory protest vote, which went mainly to the SLD. If the latter, whose candidate polled just over 13,000, had not had its vote split by competition from David Owen's SDP, Epping Forest might have ended up as one of the most important marginal seats in Britain.

The overall result largely reflected a violent reaction against the Thatcher Government's mishandling of the nation's economy — although it is by no means impossible that some dissatisfaction was also present among the voters at the weak performance of the Tories in every other area of national policy.

Law and order are collapsing throughout Britain, with violent mayhem exploding everywhere. Tough words from parts of the Tory benches at Westminster have not been paralleled by anything resembling tough action from the Tory Government, which is still dominated by 'wets', of which the Home Secretary Douglas Hurd is a prime example.

Indeed, during the election contest at

Epping Forest the Tory candidate, who campaigned partly on bringing back the rope, held a public meeting at which Hurd spoke on his behalf. This did not add to the candidate's credibility, in

others inveigled into debt by Mrs. Thatcher's siren song about Britain's so-called 'prosperity' under Tory rule. Until recently, the Tory Government has been able to sustain this illusion by

international trade for her needs; and she has run badly into debt to foreigners for those needs, many of which, until fairly recently, she was still able to supply for herself.

EPPING FOREST BY-ELECTION

Tories take a plunge

view of Hurd's reputation and record.

In addition, there is widespread opposition to Britain's membership of the EEC, although Mrs. Thatcher has been posing as some sort of 'champion' of Britain's national independence; many people are aware of the fact that, in reality, the Prime Minister has no intention of getting us out of the Community.

NO SPUR TO VOTE TORY

There was therefore nothing here that could possibly inspire the electorate to vote Conservative, or keep them from registering their protest against the government by backing the SLD.

Far and away the main cause of the Tories' disaster in Epping Forest was the massive hike in interest rates, especially on mortgages, which have put the squeeze on home-owners and

using up Britain's North Sea oil wealth, and selling off the ownership and control of the nation's state-owned industries and public assets to foreigners in order to plug our foreign trade deficit and bale us out of our international debt problems — at least

THE ROAD BACK TO INFLATION

The result? Too much money has ended up chasing too few goods, causing pressure for prices to rise. In other words, Tory policies have set Britain on the road to new inflation.

To limit this trend (for which he and his fellow Tories were responsible in the first place), Chancellor Lawson has boosted borrowing rates so as to choke off any further growth in credit and money. Many of the voters of Epping Forest belong to that section of the population now being made to feel the pinch — hence the protest vote.

Not that the SLD provides any real answer to Britain's problems. Just as much as the Tories, the SLD are inter-




LAWSON AND HURD

Their inept handling of the economy and law and order may well have cost the Tories a lot of votes

1958: GOVERNMENT IMMIGRATION DEBATE

Isn't this where we came in?

THE RELEASE of government papers at the end of 1988 revealed much that was interesting in respect of the discussions taking place in the Cabinet of 30 years earlier, when concern first began to be felt over the large numbers of coloured immigrants coming to settle in Britain.



HAILSHAM

Warned of race danger 30 years ago but he and rest of government funk the action needed

In a memorandum to the Cabinet, Lord Hailsham, recent Lord Chancellor and then Lord President of the Council, sounded strong warnings about the possibility of racial strife, but counselled no really positive action. He said:-

"However, public opinion generally does not appear yet to be seriously concerned by the problem; legislation to curb coloured immigration from the Commonwealth would clearly be contentious and, if the rate of immigration can be held back by administrative measures and also by appropriate publicity in the countries concerned, we do not think that early legislation would be justified."

What this meant, in effect, was that Lord Hailsham was recommending that a firm policy to curb the flood by legislation would be resented in certain quarters (probably he had in mind particularly the mass media, but no doubt he also meant the coloured immigrants themselves, all of whom had votes!). The line of least

resistance was therefore advised: the government should seek other, and obviously less decisive, methods of coping with the problem.

One of the recommendations was that the British Government should appeal to the governments of the Commonwealth countries from which most of the migrants came, and with a view to getting them to discourage their nationals from settling here. This was in fact done in the case of West Indian governments but the latter, according to *The Sunday Telegraph* (January 1st), "reacted angrily to suggestions that they should limit emigration and said that, if it was to be limited by law, then Britain must take the responsibility for doing it." This reaction of course was entirely correct; the West Indian governments were, in effect, refusing to assist us in solving a problem that belonged to us but from which we were ducking out of pure cowardice.

Cowardice indeed continued to be the guiding consideration in the 30 years that followed that affair. At every stage when the future of the British Nation demanded that firm action be taken, no government was prepared to give the lead that it should have done and legislate properly to reverse the process. Later, when legislation was adopted, it was wholly inadequate and amounted merely to a piece of cosmetics designed to appease public opinion among the white majority, which by then had come to recognise the dire threat which the coloured influx was causing to the nation's future.

And so it has remained to this day. Government has always funk the issue. Now we have in Britain a coloured immigrant population of such a size that it calls the tune in a large number of inner city constituencies by means of its ability to command the balance of votes. All the parties of Westminster are terrified of antagonising it, and therefore future generations of Britons are being betrayed — all in the cause of party politics.

Such is the legacy of 'democracy'.

JOKE OF THE YEAR

"One more conclusion — the most important one I would say — is that we should rely on the initiative and creativity of the masses; on the active participation of the widest sections of the population in the implementation of the reforms planned; that is, on democratisation and again democratisation."

Mikhail Gorbachev
PERESTROIKA

in the short-term.

But now the oil boom is past its peak, and Britain's cupboard is getting ever more bare of national assets to sell off. In addition, share values on the international stock exchanges have taken a large dip, vastly cutting down any further profits to be had from government privatisation schemes.

Now economic reality is here at last with a vengeance. And that reality, which the Tories have done more than any previous government to bring about, consists of the fact that vast areas of Britain's manufacturing capability have been wiped out by the government's deliberate policies. Now, partly as a result, Britain imports far more manufactured goods than she exports; she is heavily dependent on

nationalists who would leave Britain wide open to foreign imports. They also believe fanatically in our country's total absorption into the EEC, and, being a coalition of some of the weakest and wettest elements in British politics, they are correspondingly flabby on issues such as law and order and immigration.

But at least what the Epping Forest result may show is that Thatcherism is starting to slip. In the decade of disaster that they have inflicted on Britain, the Tories have for a long time been able to get the voters to knuckle under by making them feel artificially comfortable and prosperous. Now, however, all that might be starting to come to an end. The Tory chickens might just be coming home to roost.

BRITAIN RUNNING OUT OF CONTROL

(Contd. from front page)

was critically wounded. Only after the gunmen had been cornered in a house by West Midlands Tactical Firearms Unit was one of them arrested. The other shot himself. The two had travelled all the way from Cwmbran, South Wales, to carry out their robbery.

If Mrs. Thatcher and her ministers had shown a tiny fraction of the energy in fighting this vicious mayhem that they have in trying to cover up the truth of what is happening in Britain under their rule, then maybe some of the valuable lives that have been lost to such criminals as these would have been spared.

STRAW IN THE WIND

Meanwhile, as things stand it is possible only to speculate as to the question of in what precise direction this general breakdown of public order is leading. Perhaps it was a straw in the wind on bonfire night in November when youths in the black ghetto area of Toxteth, Liverpool, burned effigies of uniformed police. As many as 300 were reported to have joined the bonfire party in Granby Street, scene of the worst street battles in the 1981 riots.

Things in this area are now so bad that police patrol crews have been warned that they have to be careful

about even so much as entering the area. This smacks to us of the IRA enclaves and 'no-go' areas which, through the very same kind of weakness, successive governments allowed to grow up in the 1970s in Belfast and Londonderry.

Some things should be clear to

Quote for the month

"...The subject of race is another vast area of public concern where censorship, often backed by law, operates at a number of levels. Indeed, I think it can truthfully be said that no newspaper now dares to publish articles which discuss Britain's race problems frankly."

Paul Johnson
(THE SPECTATOR)

everybody by now. Law and order are collapsing in Britain at increasing speed — because of the complete lack of any political will in government to uphold them. The will to bring back good order to our country is not going to come from any of the tired and failed politicians of the Westminster establishment, who have presided over growing anarchy. It is the British National Party, and the British National Party alone, that in any way at all stands for the restoration of Britain's traditional national community.

Race war: Enoch echoes our predictions

"I STILL CANNOT FORESEE how a country can be peaceably governed in which the composition of the population is progressively going to change, in the way the population of great parts of England is certainly going to change.

"I am talking about violence on a scale which can only be described as civil war. I cannot see there can be any other outcome.

"I'm not talking about a war because of race, but about people who revolt against being trapped in a situation where they feel at the mercy of a built-in racial majority, whatever its colour."

"And that war is most likely to begin in major inner cities like Birmingham and London when the white population find themselves outnumbered by Blacks and Asians."

These were the words of one of Britain's retired elder statesmen,

former Tory and Ulster Unionist MP Enoch Powell, in his most dramatic and hard-hitting statement about Britain's fast-growing race crisis for many years — certainly since the race riots of 1981 and 1985. He was speaking in an interview with *The People Sunday Magazine*. Nationalists, who have laboured and fought for so long, in the teeth of lies, government repression and mob violence on the streets, to get the truth of this hideous threat across to the public, will welcome his words. What will be equally welcome is Mr. Powell's confirmation of what nationalists, and not least this newspaper, have pointed out and exposed — namely that there is an official conspiracy of silence. The politicians and the government, according to Mr. Powell, are trying to conceal just how bad the situation is. To quote Mr. Powell:

"I do not think my fears are now unshared amongst those in positions of high authority and responsibility. I'm content to suppose that 20 years ago there was actual ignorance of these prospects among ministers. I do not believe there is that ignorance today.

"These are matters of grave and serious anxiety at the top level of government, among ministers like the Home Secretary Douglas Hurd, and the Education Secretary, Kenneth Baker, men in the very highest positions."

As Mr. Powell rightly went on to say, only through a policy of what he called 'substantial repatriation' can the catastrophe of race war in Britain be averted. This too echoes the solution we have always put forward in this paper.


There is only one really important truth that Mr. Powell did not spell out.

This is that no such policy will ever come from any of our present-day politicians. After all, as he himself remarked of Mrs. Thatcher's ministers: "They are reluctant to admit the danger exists. They know, but they will not admit that they know."

Looking at all the current contenders for office at Westminster, can we see any who would be at all likely to show any more guts than this? We think not.

It is not from such spineless wonders as the old party gangs produce that this national salvation from the horrors grimly foreshadowed in Mr. Powell's words is ever going to come. It will take a whole new order of leadership in Britain to do what must be done.

Meanwhile, the way things are going, to quote Mr. Powell in the aftermath of the riots in the early 1980s: "You have seen nothing yet!"



ENOCH POWELL
His forecast of the British future is right in line with that made by this paper over several years. He is the only major figure to speak out

HAVE YOU BEEN BRAINWASHED BY TELEVISION TODAY?

WE KNOW that the minds of the British people are subject to a constant barrage of attacks by the anti-British mass media. And of all the sections of the media television is by far the most powerful in influencing people's minds and conditioning their thoughts.

Take a typical day at random and just look at the evil rubbish you can find on EVERY TV channel. This was a recent Thursday's offering:-

YOUR LETTERS

Sir. — Your front-page feature 'Stop this assault on our children!' (December issue) did not come one moment too soon.

I am the father of an 11-year-old boy. Almost every week he is coming home from school with his head stuffed with propaganda churned out by one of his teachers in praise of internationalism, multi-racialism or some other fashionable leftist viewpoint. The latest is the idea that Nelson Mandela is some kind of 'martyr' in the cause of 'human rights' and should be, not only released from prison in South Africa, but venerated by civilised people everywhere in the world. It did not take me long to show my boy evidence that Mandela is in fact a tried and convicted terrorist who was found with enough weapons in his possession to let forth a minor war. He is the head of the African National Congress, which has always advocated violent methods for the overthrow of the South African Government. And his wife, as readers will know, quite recently made a speech to ANC followers in which she said: "With our necklaces (burning tyres fixed around the heads of opponents) we shall liberate this country."

I was able to get all the facts with which to correct my son's miseducation from your own publications, which are mines of good information when it comes to fighting propaganda in the classroom.

G. WAINWRIGHT
Bury, Lancs.

Sir. — I have no sympathy whatsoever with Norman Tebbit, Kenneth Baker or any other of the Tory leaders who have been subjected to violent attacks at their meetings by red mobs, as spotlighted in *BN* last month. Where were these people when nationalist marches in the 1970s were being similarly attacked? What whimper of protest did we hear from them against the attackers? In fact, as I remember it, Tory ministers joined in with the leaders of all the other Westminster parties in condemning, not the reds who made the attacks, but the nationalists who were their intended victims.

Now the Tories are being hoist on the petard of the violent and lawless society that they, by their weakness and cowardice, have helped to create. It couldn't have happened to more worthy people!

D.F. MASON
London S.W.9

Sir. — One of the very few good decisions made by the Thatcher Government was to order the British-made Challenger tank from Vickers for the army instead of the American Abrams. It is appalling, however, that the Government even considered buying the American model.

But I am unhappy at the fact that some of the chiefs of the armed forces were in favour of purchasing the Abrams — on the grounds that it is in operation now, whereas there will have to be a wait of a year or so before the same can be said of the Challenger.

What is more important: the short-term or the long-term requirements of British defence? It is not impossible, but it is certainly unlikely, that there will be a war facing Britain between now and when the Challenger is in commission. On the other hand, had the Abrams been chosen on the basis of short-term considerations it would have wrecked this nation's tank-producing capacity in a way that would have had disastrous consequences for at least the next 20 years.

As you yourselves rightly say, a nation cannot have effective armed forces without a strong and independent armaments industry. The latter should never be sacrificed for the sake of any short-term advantages in the way of weapon power.

A.R. LACEY-BROWN
Almer, Dorset

BBC 1, 7.30 p.m.: 'East Enders'. Regular soap full of race-mixing propaganda totally out of keeping with the reality of life in East London, where there is little love lost between the natives and the immigrants. 'East Enders' also tries, quite falsely, to show how Cockneys willingly mix with queers and accept them as normal.

BBC1, 9.30 p.m.: 'The Monocled Mutineer'. A repeat of this anti-military, pacifist play that caused a storm of protest when it first appeared and is a gross insult to the heroic dead of the Great War. Typically, the cosmopolitan crew that runs the BBC seems to delight in repeating these nasty little left-wing plays.

BBC2, 3 p.m.: 'Ours to keep'. The 'new residents' of Spitalfields, London, are given a warm welcome in this programme. No prizes for guessing who they might be!

BBC2, 10.15 p.m.: 'Police powers — blue and black'. We'll quote you verbatim this nauseating documentary as published: "Ole Hansen visits police training centres in London and Manchester to examine the race awareness courses that are now given to new recruits and serving officers to help them when policing multi-racial areas." Yech!

ITV, 8.30 p.m.: 'The fastest men on earth'. The commentator fawns on black American sprinter Jesse Owens, and then goes on to perpetuate the myth that Hitler refused to shake his hand after he had won a gold at the 1936 Olympics. This non-event has been proved beyond any doubt to have been a blatant and untrue piece of anti-German propaganda.

ITV, 9 p.m.: 'In the heat of the night'. More evil doings by the 'racist' white American southerners against the poor black population, championed against supposed bigotry by their 'brilliant' new black sheriff. Channel 4 (usually the worst of the lot, but it must be slipping on this day). However, it still manages to sneak in some American pap for our children in the form of the awful 'Sesame Street' at 1 p.m. With its ethnically balanced puppets, the programme is every child's total boredom. One of the reasons why Channel 4 may now be soft-peddalling a little on the propaganda is that so much of the previous content was so blatantly anti-British and anti-white that many viewers were switching to other channels.


Just one day in the life of British(?) TV, and not by any means the worst one we've
Contd. on back page

A MAN WITH A FUTURE!

MR. JOHN MAJOR, chief Secretary to the Treasury (pictured on right), has often been described in the press as the brightest star among the younger leaders of the Tory Party and the person most likely to succeed Mrs. Thatcher.

Recently, in an interview with *Marxism Today*, Mr. Major said that the event that had most meaning for him in 1988 was the Hindu Festival of Light, which he attended, and which he described as "a very significant spiritual festival." It illustrated, he continued, "how much Britain benefits from the enormous richness of the various cultures and traditions of its citizens."

Obviously a man destined to promotion to the very highest level!




KEEP BRITISH CAPITAL IN BRITAIN!

BRITISH INVESTMENT in the American economy, so we are told, is increasing spectacularly. In the *Sunday Times Magazine* on December 11th, in a feature headed 'Britain buys America', it was stated that during the past two years British businesses have spent more than \$60 billion (around £33 billion) "capturing corporations whose names read like a roll of honour for the American way of commerce." The article went on to list examples of leading US companies that had fallen under British ownership (in some cases with 'British' deserving inverted commas — due to the origins of those staging the takeovers). Said the writer, Keith Wheatley: "Sterling is pouring into the United States in search of profitable companies to buy. In 1987 we bought more US businesses than Canada, West Germany, Japan and France put together." The article went on to say that "the British-American Deal Review calculated that Britain is now spending the equivalent of 4.7 per cent of its gross domestic product on US acquisitions — eight times the rate of West Germany and 30 times the rate of the Japanese."

To many, all this will sound like something to wave the flag about. But we would disagree. The enormous amount of British money that has been flowing into the US is all money that could, and should, have been invested in industry in Britain. And while this has been happening British industry, lacking investment from home sources, has fallen prey to foreign investment and is now, in huge parts, owned by foreign capital — with vital decisions affecting members' livelihoods being made in boardrooms across the seas.

British money invested in the USA, or elsewhere abroad, scarcely provides jobs for a single worker in Britain. Foreign money invested in Britain makes us less and less sovereign in respect of the control of our own

As investment in US reaches record level, we say...



BRITISH INDUSTRY
Being swallowed up by foreign capital while British capital is pouring into America

industry — and that can endanger the jobs of millions.

The correct solution is for government in Britain to regulate the outflow and inflow of capital. British capital should not be permitted to go abroad, except in rare cases where it can be shown that the whole British economy,

and not just a few investors, will benefit. Foreign capital should not be permitted to take over British industry, which should remain firmly in British hands. Where foreign capital is already in control of British industry, British capital should be used to buy that control back for Britain.

AFTER THE RYAN EXTRADITION FARCE What price the Anglo-Irish Agreement now?

THAT THE IRISH GOVERNMENT should refuse to extradite Patrick Ryan, wanted in connection with numerous IRA murders, was absolutely predictable.


It all just goes to underline what a farce is the Anglo-Irish Agreement. Mrs. Thatcher, Tom King and the others responsible for the Agreement have attempted to 'sell' it to the British people as a clever device by which Britain, in return for concessions to the Irish Republic over Ulster, would obtain the full-hearted co-operation of the Republic in fighting terrorism and bringing terrorists to justice. We give the Republic a say in the running of Ulster — so the idea went — and its government would oblige us by not allowing Eire to be a haven for wanted IRA killers: that was the deal.

But how has the Agreement turned out? The Irish Republic has been given its say in the running of the North: its representatives regularly sit on councils there and act as co-judges in courts. It is regularly consulted by Britain in major decisions to be made in respect of Ulster. All this of course constitutes an outrageous insult to the loyal people of a province that is supposed to be under British sovereignty.

But in return Britain has received next to nothing in the way of co-operation in bringing terrorists to book. A few small token gestures have been made by the Republic here and there, and that is all. Apart from Ryan, there are an estimated 50-odd wanted IRA

operatives running around the Republic absolutely free. The Agreement is working almost wholly to the advantage of the Republic and its fifth column in Ulster and almost wholly against Britain and the loyal Ulster people.

When they first introduced the Agreement three years ago, we



RYAN
Irish refusal to hand him over shows that Agreement is totally one-sided

opposed it and predicted that it would do no good. We have been proved absolutely right. It should be scrapped without further ado, and Britain should recognise that in the fight against terrorism in Ulster the Irish Republic is an ENEMY, not an ally.

Nationalist rally LOUGHTON (ESSEX)

Wednesday, January 11th, 8 p.m.

Rendezvous for redirection:-
Entrance to Loughton Underground Station (Central Line)
7.30 p.m.

Speakers will include:-

JOHN TYNDALL
DAVID BRUCE

Organised by the British National Party

NEW BUILDING FUND: £4,708.12 NEEDED!

The BNP New Building Fund, launched to raise money for the staffing and equipping of the party's new premises, has got off to a very modest start, with only £291.88 being raised since the fund began. We hope we can do much better in future.

Please send all contributions to New Building Fund, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please give generously!

BNP Recordings

RALLY '87

Video-recording of the BNP national rally in London on October 31st 1987. Hear speeches by Ronald Rickard (chairman), Ian Sloan, Gus McLeod, Dr. Peter Peel, Richard Edmonds, Stanley Clayton-Garnett, David Bruce and John Tyndall. Price: £18 plus 67p p&p.

Sound Recordings RALLY '82

Speeches from the BNP national rally in London on October 11th 1982, the theme of which was 'Unite and fight for Britain's future!'

Side 1: Includes speech by Charles Parker; Side 2: Speech by John Tyndall.

TYNDALL SPEAKS I

Side 1: Talk on 'Our Anglo-Saxon heritage (about the worldwide dispersal of the peoples of British stock); Side 2: Talk on 'Britain's economic crisis'.

TYNDALL SPEAKS II

Side 1: Talk on 'The case for nationalism' (the internationalist argument demolished); Side 2: Talk on 'The tragedy of the 20th century' (analysis of the results of the Second World War).

TYNDALL SPEAKS III

Side 1: Talk on 'Why we must repatriate' (theme self-explanatory); Side 2: Talk on 'Foundations of the national community' (analysis of rights and duties in a racial-nationalist state).

TYNDALL SPEAKS IV

Side 1: Talk on 'The way to full employment'; Side 2: Talk on 'The racial time-bomb' (demolition of the case for multi-racial Britain).

These recordings are produced in cassette form and are available at £3.50 each (plus 26p p&p).

All orders to: BNP Recordings, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

SPEARHEAD

For an in-depth view of nationalist politics, read *Spearhead*, a 20-page monthly magazine which supports the British National Party. Price 50p. Yearly subscription £8.12. 64p for sample copy to: *Spearhead*, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

BUY BRITISH NATIONALIST IN BULK

British Nationalist retails at 20p from your local seller. Would bulk-purchasers order ONLY in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £2.00 + 52p post
25 copies £4.50 + £1.70 post
50 copies £8.00 + £2.10 post
100 copies £14.00 + £2.80 post
150 copies £20.00 + £3.00 post
200 copies £25.00 + £3.45 post
300 copies £35.00 + £4.00 post
400 copies £44.00 + £5.00 post
500 copies £53.00) Bulk rates
1,000 copies £103.00) by Roadline

Cheques/postal orders to British Nationalist, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning British Nationalist totally separate from other correspondence in order to avoid delay and confusion.

Tyndall to visit Wales

JOHN TYNDALL, British National Party leader, will be speaking in Cardiff in February at a meeting organised by the local unit. All those interested should keep in touch with Cardiff BNP for details of location and date, which will be announced later.

NORTHERN NEWS

York to be a BNP city!

THE EAST YORKSHIRE AREA of the British National Party has targeted York for rapid development of the party in 1989. A special leaflet, 'Despite the lies today, we are here to stay', is being distributed to the people in the city, stressing the BNP's determination to free York from the hold of the red mob, based mainly at York University, which has dominated the political scene for so long. The leaflet also attacks the policies of York Council for surrendering the heritage of the ancient capital and turning it into a tourist trap for Americans. Hull BNP unit, in particular, will be active in the campaign to win back York, and special 'Days of Action' are being planned for the area.

Dewsbury unit firmly established

AFTER a hard-fought campaign assisted by other Yorkshire units, a Dewsbury unit of the British National Party was set up in December. Thirty-plus local supporters attended the inaugural meeting, including parents of the children at the centre of the very controversial Overthorpe school dispute. The parents have won a high court action against Kirklees Council allowing them to send their children to white schools rather than predominantly Asian ones. The BNP is producing a special leaflet for Dewsbury on this issue. The Dewsbury activists have announced that they will soon have their own PO Box, and they have

also produced a local BNP bulletin, *The Dewsbury Nationalist*.

Meanwhile, the Chief Constable of West Yorkshire, Mr. Colin Sampson, has ordered a top level enquiry into political bias against the BNP by Superintendent Neil Reece of Dewsbury Police. Reece's comments against the party have been widely reported in both the local and national press. Following an official BNP complaint, which also includes the allegation that Reece may have been instrumental in getting police to 'lean on' a local publican in order to persuade him to bar the BNP from using one of his rooms as a meeting place, the enquiry into Reece's conduct has also won massive local publicity both in the press and on radio.

Northern leadership conference and rally

ON DECEMBER 10th, BNP organisers from all over the North of England, including Leeds, Wakefield, Bradford, Sheffield, Rochdale, Blackburn, Bury, Manchester, Salford, Dewsbury and Hull, gathered in Leeds to lay plans for the first part of the activity programme for 1989. The planning meeting was followed by a rally addressed by East Midlands leader John Peacock, who gave an excellent speech on co-operation with fellow nationalists in Europe. The day finished with an auction of nationalist literature by John Wood, northern co-ordinating speaker of the BNP, and £150 was raised for developing the Yorkshire HQ in Leeds. Several new members were signed up during the rally.

NF flop in Epping Forest poll

ONE OF the participants in last month's Epping Forest by-election was the National Front (*Flag* faction). The candidate was Mrs. Tina Wingfield.

Epping Forest has one of the British National Party's strongest local units, the nearby Loughton branch, which has done much hard work throughout the area and is continuing to grow fast. The BNP, however, had decided not to fight the contest, since the leadership realised that, as yet, nationalists do not stand to gain large votes in parliamentary by-elections. For the time being, resources can be much better used in building up the party infra-structure, in the BNP's view.

Nonetheless, the *Flag* faction decided that it knew better and opted to contest the election, even though the faction has no visible presence in Epping Forest and almost no members there.

The NF *Flag* group's campaign appears to have lacked both direction and energy. No door-to-door leafletting seems to have been undertaken, and to the extent that the faction had any activist team on the ground this consisted of little more than the members of its governing steering committee.

According to reports, the NF *Flag* team

withdrew from Epping High Street when threatened by members of the Green Party, who were campaigning there. They had driven up by car, and when the Greens recognised them and kicked the side of their vehicle they backed off and left.

This is believed to be a 'first' for any group of nationalists in recent times.

Also somewhat novel were the NF *Flag* faction's tactics in holding what was supposed to be a public election meeting. The venue was kept as a closely guarded secret. Those attending had first to go to a redirection point some four miles away from it. The audience is reported to have numbered 19.

Flag spokesman Martin Wingfield, husband of the candidate, was quoted as saying that anything less than 300 votes would be 'a disaster for the National Front.' In the event, his wife polled 286 votes.

Directly following the announcement of this result at the town hall election count, Mr. and Mrs. Wingfield and their few colleagues withdrew from the public platform without Mrs. Wingfield making the customary speech of thanks to her voters in the constituency.

Nationalist rally ROCHDALE (LANCS)

Saturday, February 4th, 2 p.m.

Rendezvous for redirection:-
Rochdale Bus Station: 1 p.m.

Main speaker:-

JOHN TYNDALL

Organised by the British National Party

BNP propaganda output: league table

FROM THE NEW YEAR, our paper will be reporting regularly on the performance of the various British National Party regions in the way of propaganda output. From the new year we shall be publishing a regular league table for purchases of propaganda pieces for free distribution. At the end

of November the order of performance region by region was as follows:-

- 1st NE London & Essex
- 2nd North West England
- 3rd East Anglia
- 4th East Midlands
- 5th Yorkshire
- 6th S. London
- 7th Scotland
- 8th West Midlands
- 9th NE England
- 10th Wales

HAVE YOU BEEN BRAINWASHED BY TELEVISION TODAY?

(Contd. from page 3)

experienced. However, this paper has an easy answer: If you want to avoid this brainwashing rubbish, just turn the damned set off and occupy your time with something much more useful and enriching!

BACK TO REALITY!

(Contd. from page 2)

private greed to keep the illusion going, whilst the nation as a whole falls to pieces.

This is a trick which cannot go on forever.

Now is the time for nationalists to step forward boldly in a united front — to fight for the blood and honour of our folk. It is for us to bring our people back to nature, back to reality, back to the greatness which should be our birthright.

What We Stand For

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs
- A government that will put British interests first
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth
- Protection of British industry by the selective exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain
- A sane financial system that will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce
- The revival of British farming
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work — with the proviso that work is locally available
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation
- British support for the Whites in South Africa
- An end to financial aid to corrupt Third World regimes
- The strengthening of Britain's defences
- Tougher treatment of criminals, including the return of the death penalty
- The smashing of the IRA, the termination of the Anglo-Irish Agreement and firm support for the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland
- Repealing of the laws permitting Abortion and Homosexuality and a general toughening of legislation against the promotion of obscenity in the media
- The elimination of communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom

WRITE TO US

To: British National Party, PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS
(Tick appropriate box)

Please send me more information, for which I enclose 30p ☐

I wish to become a member and enclose £12
(Half rate for OAPs and students) ☐

Name.....

Address.....

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving *British Nationalist* regularly, why not take out a subscription? The rate is £4.42 for 12 issues for subscribers in the British Isles and £6.00 (unsealed surface mail) for subscribers overseas. Please make cheques/POs payable to *British Nationalist* and send them to PO Box 446, London SE23 2LS.

An additional charge of £5.50 is required on all foreign currency cheques and POs and an additional £2 on all foreign currency sent in banknotes.

Name.....

Address.....